arXiv:1602.07912v2 [math.FA] 8 Jan 2017

SOME IDENTITIES AND INEQUALITIES FOR HILBERT-SCHMIDT FRAMES

ANIRUDHA PORIA

Abstract. In this paper we establish Parseval type identities and surprising new inequalities for Hilbert-Schmidt frames. Our results generalize and improve the remarkable results which have been obtained by Balan et al. and Găvruţa.

1. Introduction

The concept of a frame in Hilbert spaces has been introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaeffer [19], in the context of nonharmonic Fourier series (see [40]). After the work of Daubechies et al. [16] frame theory got considerable attention outside signal processing and began to be more broadly studied (see [9, 12, 25]). A frame for a Hilbert space is a redundant set of vectors in Hilbert space which provides non-unique representations of vectors in terms of frame elements. The redundancy and flexibility offered by frames has spurred their application in several areas of mathematics, physics, and engineering such as sigma-delta quantization [6], neural networks [7], image processing [8], system modelling [18], quantum measurements [20], sampling theory [21], wireless communications [36] and many other well known fields.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{K}$ are two Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathbb{H}$, I the identity operator on $\mathbb{H}$, and J is a countable index set. Now we recall the definition and some basic properties of frames in Hilbert spaces.

Definition 1.1. *A family $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ is called a frame for $\mathbb{H}$, if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j\in J} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2. \tag{1.1}$$

The constants A and B are called *frame bounds*. If $A = B$, then this frame is called an *A-tight frame*, and if $A = B = 1$, then it is called a *Parseval frame*.

Date: January 10, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15; Secondary 94A12.
Key words and phrases. Hilbert-Schmidt frame; Parseval frame; HS-frame identity.

If $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathbb{H}$, then the following three operators are linear and bounded:

$$\textit{Synthesis operator}: \quad T : l^2(J) \to \mathbb{H}, \quad T(\{c_j\}_{j\in J}) = \sum_{j\in J} c_j f_j,$$
$$\textit{Analysis operator}: \quad T^* : \mathbb{H} \to l^2(J), \quad T^* f = \{\langle f, f_j\rangle\}_{j\in J},$$
$$\textit{Frame operator}: \quad S : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}, \quad Sf = T^*Tf = \sum_{j\in J} \langle f, f_j\rangle f_j.$$

Moreover, T^* is the adjoint of T, and S is a positive self-adjoint invertible operator in $\mathbb{H}$. The following reconstruction formula holds for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$:

$$f = \sum_{j\in J} \langle f, f_j\rangle S^{-1} f_j = \sum_{j\in J} \langle f, S^{-1} f_j\rangle f_j, \tag{1.2}$$

where the family $\{\tilde{f}_j = S^{-1} f_j : j \in J\}$ is also a frame for $\mathbb{H}$, which is called the *canonical dual frame* of $\{f_j : j \in J\}$. A frame $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ for $\mathbb{H}$ is called an *alternate dual frame* of $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ if for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$ the following equality holds:

$$f = \sum_{j\in J} \langle f, g_j\rangle f_j. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{H}$. For every $K \subset J$, we define the operator S_K by

$$S_K f = \sum_{j\in K} \langle f, f_j\rangle f_j, \tag{1.4}$$

and also we denote K^c as $J \setminus K$.

We refer to [9, 12, 15, 25, 26, 31, 40] for basic results on frames and [2, 10, 11, 14, 23, 27, 32, 34, 37] for generalizations of frames.

In [3], the authors proved a longstanding conjecture of the signal processing community: a signal can be reconstructed without information about the phase. While working on efficient algorithms for signal reconstruction, Balan et al. [5] discovered a remarkable new identity for Parseval frames, given in the following form. (We refer to [4] for a discussion of the origins of this fundamental identity.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a Parseval frame for $\mathbb{H}$, then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 - \left\| \sum_{j\in K} \langle f, f_j\rangle f_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j\in K^c} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 - \left\| \sum_{j\in K^c} \langle f, f_j\rangle f_j \right\|^2.$$

Theorem 1.3. *If $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a Parseval frame for $\mathbb{H}$, then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j\in K^c} \langle f, f_j\rangle f_j \right\|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}\|f\|^2.$$

Because of the importance of Parseval frames in applications, particularly to signal processing the authors in [5] mainly focused on Parseval frames and proved several interesting variants of Theorem 1.2. In fact, the identity that appears in Theorem 1.2 was obtained in [5] as a particular case of the following result for general frames.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with canonical dual frame $\{\tilde{f}_j : j \in J\}$. Then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 - \sum_{j\in J} |\langle S_K f, \tilde{f}_j\rangle|^2 = \sum_{j\in K^c} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 - \sum_{j\in J} |\langle S_{K^c} f, \tilde{f}_j\rangle|^2.$$

The following results, which were obtained in [24], generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to canonical and alternate dual frames:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with canonical dual frame $\{\tilde{f}_j : j \in J\}$. Then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 + \sum_{j\in J} |\langle S_{K^c} f, \tilde{f}_j\rangle|^2 = \sum_{j\in K^c} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2 + \sum_{j\in J} |\langle S_K f, \tilde{f}_j\rangle|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}\sum_{j\in J} |\langle f, f_j\rangle|^2.$$

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ and $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ be an alternate dual frame of $\{f_j : j \in J\}$, then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Re\left(\sum_{j\in K}\langle f, g_j\rangle\overline{\langle f, f_j\rangle}\right) + \left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\langle f, g_j\rangle f_j\right\|^2 &= Re\left(\sum_{j\in K^c}\langle f, g_j\rangle\overline{\langle f, f_j\rangle}\right) + \left\|\sum_{j\in K}\langle f, g_j\rangle f_j\right\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4}\|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Motivated by these interesting results, the authors in [41] generalized Theorem 1.6 to a form that does not involve the real parts of the complex numbers, which is given below.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ and $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ be an alternate dual frame of $\{f_j : j \in J\}$. Then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\left(\sum_{j\in K}\langle f, g_j\rangle\overline{\langle f, f_j\rangle}\right) - \left\|\sum_{j\in K}\langle f, g_j\rangle f_j\right\|^2 = \overline{\left(\sum_{j\in K^c}\langle f, g_j\rangle\overline{\langle f, f_j\rangle}\right) - \left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\langle f, g_j\rangle f_j\right\|^2}.$$

Moreover, the authors in [28, 38] have extended Theorem 1.4 for g-frames and canonical dual g-frames in Hilbert spaces. Also, the authors in [39] have established an equality and an inequality for the alternate dual g-frame. Further, in [29], the authors generalized the equality and inequality for g-frame to a g-Bessel sequence in Hilbert spaces.

In this paper, we generalize the above mentioned results for Hilbert-Schmidt frames. Also, we generalize the above inequalities to a more general form which involve a scalar $\lambda \in [0,1]$. As a particular case, for $\lambda = 1/2$, the above inequalities can be obtained. Since g-frames can be considered as a class of Hilbert-Schmidt frames, the previous equality and inequalities on g-frames can be obtained as a special case of the results we establish on Hilbert-Schmidt frames. The paper is organized in the following way: In section 2, we provide some necessary background on Hilbert-Schmidt frame and then, in section 3, we state and prove the main results.

2. Hilbert-Schmidt frames

Let us denote $\{\mathbb{K}_j : j \in J\} \subset \mathbb{K}$ as a sequence of Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}_j)$ the collection of all bounded linear operators from $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{K}_j$. The notion of a frame extended to g-frame by W. Sun [37]. First we recall the definition of a g-frame.

Definition 2.1. [37] *A family $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}_j) : j \in J\}$ is called a generalized frame, or simply a g-frame, for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\{\mathbb{K}_j : j \in J\}$ if there are two constants $A, B > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j(f)\|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

For more details on g-frames see [37]. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ denote the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. For a compact operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$, the eigenvalues of the positive operator $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ are called the singular values of T and denoted by $s_j(T)$. We arrange the singular values $s_j(T)$ in a decreasing order and repeated according to multiplicity, that is, $s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq ... \geq 0$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the von Neumann-Schatten p-class C_p is defined to be the set of all compact operators T for which

$$\|T\|_p = (\tau|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j^p(T)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

where τ is the usual trace functional defined as $\tau(T) = \sum_{e\in E} \langle T(e), e\rangle$, and E is any orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$. For $p = \infty$, let C_∞ denote the class of all compact operators with $\|T\|_\infty = s_1(T) < \infty$. For more information about a von Neumann-Schatten p-class see [33, 35]. We recall that C_2 is a Banach space with respect to $\|.\|_2$, and also it is a Hilbert space with the inner product defined by $\big[T, S\big]_\tau = \tau(S^*T)$.

Definition 2.2. [34] *A family $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ of bounded linear operators from $\mathbb{H}$ to $C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$ is said to be a Hilbert-Schmidt frame, or simply a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$, if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j\in J} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|_2^2 \leq B\|f\|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

If $A = B = 1$, then $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ is called the Parseval HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$. The HS-frame operator $S : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is defined by $Sf = \sum_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j(f)$, $f \in \mathbb{H}$, where $\mathcal{G}_j^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{G}_j$. If $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ is a HS-frame, then S is a bounded, invertible, self-adjoint and positive operator. Also, the following reconstruction formula holds for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$

$$f = SS^{-1}f = S^{-1}Sf = \sum_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_jS^{-1}f = \sum_{j\in J} S^{-1}\mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_jf. \tag{2.4}$$

We call $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j = \mathcal{G}_jS^{-1} : j \in J\}$ the canonical dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$. A HS-frame $\{\Gamma_j : j \in J\}$ is called an alternate dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ if for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$ the following identity holds:

$$f = \sum_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_jf = \sum_{j\in J} \Gamma_j^*\mathcal{G}_jf. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a HS-frame. For every $K \subset J$, define the bounded linear operators $S_K, S_{K^c} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$S_Kf = \sum_{j\in K} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j(f), \quad S_{K^c}f = \sum_{j\in K^c} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j(f).$$

It is easy to check that S_K and S_{K^c} are self-adjoint.

Lemma 2.3. [34] *Let $\{\Lambda_j : j \in J\}$ be a g-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\{\mathbb{K}_j : j \in J\}$. Then $\{\Lambda_j : j \in J\}$ is a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K} = \bigoplus_{j\in J} \mathbb{K}_j$.*

In [37], W. Sun has shown that bounded quasi-projectors [22], frames of subspaces [11], pseudo-frames [30], oblique frames [13], outer frames [1], and time-frequency localization operators [17] are special classes of g-frames. Hence, Lemma 2.3 implies that each of these classes is also a class of HS-frames.

3. The main results and their proofs

We first state a simple result on operators, which can be found in [41].

Lemma 3.1. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ satisfying $P + Q = I$, then $P - P^*P = Q^* - Q^*Q$.*

Proof. We compute $P - P^*P = (I - P^*)P = Q^*(I - Q) = Q^* - Q^*Q$. □

Now we state and prove a Parseval HS-frame identity.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a Parseval HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$. Then for all $K \subset J$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 - \left\|\sum_{j\in K} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f\right\|^2 = \sum_{j\in K^c} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 - \left\|\sum_{j\in K^c} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f\right\|^2.$$

Proof. Since $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ is a Parseval HS-frame, the corresponding frame operator $S = I$, and hence $S_K + S_{K^c} = I$. Note that S_{K^c} is a self-adjoint operator, and therefore $S_{K^c}^* = S_{K^c}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the operators S_K and S_{K^c}, we obtain that for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle S_K f, f\rangle - \langle S_K^* S_K f, f\rangle = \langle S_{K^c}^* f, f\rangle - \langle S_{K^c}^* S_{K^c} f, f\rangle \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \langle S_K f, f\rangle - \|S_K f\|^2 = \langle S_{K^c} f, f\rangle - \|S_{K^c} f\|^2 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \sum_{j\in K} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 - \left\|\sum_{j\in K} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f\right\|^2 = \sum_{j\in K^c} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 - \left\|\sum_{j\in K^c} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f\right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the desired result. □

The next inequality for a Parseval HS-frame, appearing in Corollary 3.3, is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a Parseval HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$. Then for all $K \subset J$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j\in K} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 + \left\|\sum_{j\in K^c} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f\right\|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}\|f\|^2.$$

Proof. Since $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ is a Parseval HS-frame, $S_K + S_{K^c} = I$. A simple computation shows that

$$S_K^2 + S_{K^c}^2 = S_K^2 + (I - S_K)^2 = 2S_K^2 - 2S_K + I = 2\left(S_K - \frac{1}{2}I\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}I,$$

and so

$$S_K^2 + S_{K^c}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}I.$$

Since $S_K + S_{K^c} = I$, it follows that $S_K + S_{K^c}^2 + S_{K^c} + S_K^2 \geq \frac{3}{2}I$. Notice that operators S_K and S_{K^c} are self-adjoint and therefore $S_K^* = S_K$, $S_{K^c}^* = S_{K^c}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the operators $P = S_K$ and $Q = S_{K^c}$, we obtain

$$S_K - S_K^2 = S_{K^c} - S_{K^c}^2 \Rightarrow S_K + S_{K^c}^2 = S_{K^c} + S_K^2.$$

Thus

$$2(S_K + S_{K^c}^2) = S_K + S_{K^c}^2 + S_{K^c} + S_K^2 \geq \frac{3}{2}I.$$

Therefore for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$\sum_{j\in K} \|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j\in K^c} \mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j f \right\|^2 = \langle S_K f, f\rangle + \langle S_{K^c} f, S_{K^c} f\rangle = \langle (S_K + S_{K^c}^2)f, f\rangle \geq \frac{3}{4}\|f\|^2.$$

This completes the proof. □

Now we generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.6 to dual HS-frames. We first establish the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ be two self-adjoint operators such that $P + Q = I$. Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$ we have*

$$\|Pf\|^2 + 2\lambda\langle Qf, f\rangle = \|Qf\|^2 + 2(1-\lambda)\langle Pf, f\rangle + (2\lambda - 1)\|f\|^2 \geq (1 - (\lambda - 1)^2)\|f\|^2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\|Pf\|^2 + 2\lambda\langle Qf, f\rangle = \langle P^2 f, f\rangle + 2\lambda\langle (I - P)f, f\rangle = \langle (P^2 - 2\lambda P + 2\lambda I)f, f\rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Qf\|^2 + 2(1-\lambda)\langle Pf, f\rangle + (2\lambda - 1)\|f\|^2 \\
= \; & \langle (I-P)^2 f, f\rangle + 2(1-\lambda)\langle Pf, f\rangle + (2\lambda - 1)\langle f, f\rangle \\
= \; & \langle (P^2 - 2\lambda P + 2\lambda I)f, f\rangle \\
= \; & \langle ((P - \lambda I)^2 - \lambda^2 I + 2\lambda I)f, f\rangle \\
= \; & \langle ((P - \lambda I)^2 + (1 - (\lambda - 1)^2)I)f, f\rangle \geq (1 - (\lambda - 1)^2)\|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired result. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$ and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j : j \in J\}$ be the canonical dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$. Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, for all $K \subset J$ and all*

$f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_K f\|^2 + \sum_{j\in K^c}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 &= \sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_{K^c} f\|^2 + \sum_{j\in K}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 \\ &\geq (2\lambda-\lambda^2)\sum_{j\in K}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 + (1-\lambda^2)\sum_{j\in K^c}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let S be the frame operator for $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$. Since $S_k + S_{K^c} = S$, it follows that

$$S^{-1/2}S_K S^{-1/2} + S^{-1/2}S_{K^c}S^{-1/2} = I.$$

Considering $P = S^{-1/2}S_K S^{-1/2}$, $Q = S^{-1/2}S_{K^c}S^{-1/2}$, and $S^{1/2}f$ instead of f in Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \|S^{-1/2}S_K f\|^2 + 2\lambda\langle S^{-1/2}S_{K^c}f, S^{1/2}f\rangle \\ =\ & \|S^{-1/2}S_{K^c}f\|^2 + 2(1-\lambda)\langle S^{-1/2}S_K f, S^{1/2}f\rangle + (2\lambda-1)\|S^{1/2}f\|^2 \\ \geq\ & (1-(\lambda-1)^2)\|S^{1/2}f\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow\ & \langle S^{-1}S_K f, S_K f\rangle + 2\lambda\langle S_{K^c}f, f\rangle \\ =\ & \langle S^{-1}S_{K^c}f, S_{K^c}f\rangle + 2(1-\lambda)\langle S_K f, f\rangle + (2\lambda-1)\langle Sf, f\rangle \\ \geq\ & (2\lambda-\lambda^2)\langle Sf, f\rangle \\ \Rightarrow\ & \langle S^{-1}S_K f, S_K f\rangle \\ =\ & \langle S^{-1}S_{K^c}f, S_{K^c}f\rangle + 2\langle S_K f, f\rangle - 2\lambda\langle (S_K + S_{K^c})f, f\rangle + (2\lambda-1)\langle Sf, f\rangle \\ \geq\ & (2\lambda-\lambda^2)\langle Sf, f\rangle - 2\lambda\langle S_{K^c}f, f\rangle \\ \Rightarrow\ & \langle S^{-1}S_K f, S_K f\rangle = \langle S^{-1}S_{K^c}f, S_{K^c}f\rangle + 2\langle S_K f, f\rangle - \langle Sf, f\rangle \\ \geq\ & 2\lambda\langle S_K f, f\rangle - \lambda^2\langle Sf, f\rangle \\ \Rightarrow\ & \langle S^{-1}S_K f, S_K f\rangle + \langle S_{K^c}f, f\rangle = \langle S^{-1}S_{K^c}f, S_{K^c}f\rangle + \langle S_K f, f\rangle \\ \geq\ & (2\lambda-\lambda^2)\langle S_K f, f\rangle + (1-\lambda^2)\langle S_{K^c}f, f\rangle. \qquad (3.1)\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^{-1}S_K f, S_K f\rangle = \langle SS^{-1}S_K f, S^{-1}S_K f\rangle &= \langle \sum_{j\in J}\mathcal{G}_j^*\mathcal{G}_j S^{-1}S_K f, S^{-1}S_K f\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j\in J}\left[\mathcal{G}_j S^{-1}S_K f, \mathcal{G}_j S^{-1}S_K f\right]_\tau \\ &= \sum_{j\in J}\left[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_K f, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_K f\right]_\tau \\ &= \sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_K f\|^2. \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\langle S^{-1}S_{K^c}f, S_{K^c}f\rangle = \sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_{K^c}f\|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

$$\langle S_{K^c}f, f\rangle = \sum_{j\in K^c}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

$$\langle S_K f, f\rangle = \sum_{j\in K}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Using equations (3.2)-(3.5) in the inequality (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_K f\|^2 + \sum_{j\in K^c}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 &= \sum_{j\in J}\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j S_{K^c}f\|^2 + \sum_{j\in K}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 \\ &\geq (2\lambda-\lambda^2)\sum_{j\in K}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2 + (1-\lambda^2)\sum_{j\in K^c}\|\mathcal{G}_j(f)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.6. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ satisfy $P+Q=I$, then for any $\lambda\in[0,1]$ and all $f\in\mathbb{H}$ we have*

$$P^*P + \lambda(Q^*+Q) = Q^*Q + (1-\lambda)(P^*+P) + (2\lambda-1)I \geq (1-(\lambda-1)^2)I.$$

Proof. We have

$$P^*P + \lambda(Q^*+Q) = P^*P + \lambda(I-P^*+I-P) = P^*P - \lambda(P^*+P) + 2\lambda I,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Q^*Q + (1-\lambda)(P^*+P) + (2\lambda-1)I &= (I-P^*)(I-P) + (1-\lambda)(P^*+P) + (2\lambda-1)I \\ &= P^*P - \lambda(P^*+P) + 2\lambda I \\ &= (P-\lambda I)^*(P-\lambda I) + (1-(\lambda-1)^2)I \\ &\geq (1-(\lambda-1)^2)I.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j\in J\}$ be a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$ and $\{\Gamma_j : j\in J\}$ be an alternate dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j\in J\}$. Then for any $\lambda\in[0,1]$, for all $K\subset J$ and all*

$f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ = \;& Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ \geq \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+(1-\lambda^2)Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $K \subset J$ and $f \in \mathbb{H}$, define the operator F_k by $F_k f = \sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j f$. Then the series converges unconditionally and $F_K \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$. By (2.5), we have $F_K + F_{K^c} = I$. By Proposition 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-(\lambda-1)^2)\|f\|^2 \leq \langle F_K^*F_Kf,f\rangle+\lambda\langle(F_{K^c}^*+F_{K^c})f,f\rangle \\ = \;& \langle F_{K^c}^*F_{K^c}f,f\rangle+(1-\lambda)\langle(F_K^*+F_K)f,f\rangle+(2\lambda-1)\|f\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re(\langle If,f\rangle)\leq\|F_Kf\|^2+\lambda(\overline{\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle}+\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle) \\ = \;& \|F_{K^c}f\|^2+(1-\lambda)(\overline{\langle F_Kf,f\rangle}+\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)+(2\lambda-1)\|f\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re(\langle(F_K+F_{K^c})f,f\rangle)\leq\|F_Kf\|^2+2\lambda Re(\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle) \\ = \;& \|F_{K^c}f\|^2+2(1-\lambda)Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)+(2\lambda-1)\|f\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)-\lambda^2Re(\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle)\leq\|F_Kf\|^2 \\ = \;& \|F_{K^c}f\|^2+2Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)-Re(\langle If,f\rangle) \\ \Rightarrow \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)-\lambda^2Re(\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle)\leq\|F_Kf\|^2 \\ = \;& \|F_{K^c}f\|^2+2Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)-Re(\langle(F_K+F_{K^c})f,f\rangle) \\ \Rightarrow \;& (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle)+(1-\lambda^2)Re(\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle)\leq\|F_Kf\|^2+Re(\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle) \\ = \;& \|F_{K^c}f\|^2+Re(\langle F_Kf,f\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\langle F_Kf,f\rangle=\langle\sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_jf,f\rangle=\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_jf,\mathcal{G}_jf\right]_\tau.$$
$$\langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle=\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_jf,\mathcal{G}_jf\right]_\tau.$$

So finally

$$\begin{aligned} & Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ = \; & Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ \geq \; & (2\lambda-\lambda^2)Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}+(1-\lambda^2)Re\left\{\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.8. If we consider $\lambda = 1/2$ in Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7, then we obtain the similar inequalities as in Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 respectively, with scalar 3/4.

Next we give a simplified presentation of Theorem 1.7 for HS-frames, which generalizes Theorem 3.7 to a more general form that does not involve the real parts of the complex numbers. We first establish the following result.

Lemma 3.9. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $P + Q = I$, then $P + Q^*Q = Q^* + P^*P$.*

Proof. By simple computation, we obtain

$$P + Q^*Q = P + (I - P^*)(I - P) = (I - P^*) + P^*P = Q^* + P^*P,$$

which is as required. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$ and $\{\Gamma_j : j \in J\}$ be an alternate dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$, then for every $K \subset J$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\left(\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right)+\left\|\sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2=\overline{\left(\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right)}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2$$

Proof. For $K \subset J$ and $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we define the operator F_k as in Theorem 3.7. Therefore, we have $F_K + F_{K^c} = I$. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j\in K^c}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right)+\left\|\sum_{j\in K}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 &= \langle F_{K^c}f,f\rangle+\langle F_K^*F_Kf,f\rangle \\ &= \langle F_K^*f,f\rangle+\langle F_{K^c}^*F_{K^c}f,f\rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle F_Kf,f\rangle}+\|F_{K^c}f\|^2 \\ &= \overline{\left(\sum_{j\in K}\left[\Gamma_j(f),\mathcal{G}_j(f)\right]_\tau\right)}+\left\|\sum_{j\in K^c}\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the relation stated in the theorem holds. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$ be a HS-frame for $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{K}$ and $\{\Gamma_j : j \in J\}$ be an alternate dual HS-frame of $\{\mathcal{G}_j : j \in J\}$. Then for every bounded sequence $\{w_j : j \in J\}$ and every $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j\in J} w_j \big[\Gamma_j(f), \mathcal{G}_j(f)\big]_\tau\right) + \left\|\sum_{j\in J}(1-w_j)\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ = & \overline{\left(\sum_{j\in J}(1-w_j)\big[\Gamma_j(f), \mathcal{G}_j(f)\big]_\tau\right)} + \left\|\sum_{j\in J} w_j\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We define the operators $Ff = \sum_{j\in J} w_j\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j f$ and $Gf = \sum_{j\in J}(1-w_j)\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j f$. Note that both series converge unconditionally. Also we have $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ and $F + G = I$. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j\in J} w_j \big[\Gamma_j(f), \mathcal{G}_j(f)\big]_\tau\right) + \left\|\sum_{j\in J}(1-w_j)\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2 \\ = & \langle Ff, f\rangle + \langle G^*Gf, f\rangle \\ = & \langle G^*f, f\rangle + \langle F^*Ff, f\rangle \\ = & \overline{\langle Gf, f\rangle} + \|Ff\|^2 \\ = & \overline{\left(\sum_{j\in J}(1-w_j)\big[\Gamma_j(f), \mathcal{G}_j(f)\big]_\tau\right)} + \left\|\sum_{j\in J} w_j\mathcal{G}_j^*\Gamma_j(f)\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the relation holds. □

Observe that if we consider $K \subset J$ and

$$w_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \in K \\ 1 & \text{if } j \in K^c, \end{cases}$$

then Theorem 3.10 follows from Theorem 3.11.

Acknowledgments

The author is deeply indebted to Prof. Radu Balan for several valuable suggestions concerning Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.11. The author also wishes to thank Dr. J. Swain for several fruitful discussions. The author is grateful to the Ministry of Human Resource Development, India for providing the research fellowship and Indian Institute of Technology

Guwahati, India for the support provided during the period of this work. Further, the author thanks the anonymous referee for very valuable suggestions which helped to improve the paper.

References

[1] A. Aldroubi, C. Cabrelli, and U. Molter. Wavelets on irregular grids with arbitrary dilation matrices and frame atomics for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 17(2):119-140, 2004.

[2] A. Askari-Hemmat, M. Dehghan, and M. Radjabalipour. Generalized frames and their redundancy. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(4):1143–1147, 2001.

[3] R. Balan, P.G. Casazza, and D. Edidin. On signal reconstruction without phase. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 20(3):345–356, 2006.

[4] R. Balan, P.G. Casazza, D. Edidin, and G. Kutyniok. Decompositions of frames and a new frame identity. In *Wavelets XI (San Diego, CA, 2005), 379–388, SPIE Proc. 5914, SPIE, Bellingham, WA*, 2005.

[5] R. Balan, P.G. Casazza, D. Edidin, and G. Kutyniok. A new identity for Parseval frames. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(4):1007–1015, 2007.

[6] J.J. Benedetto, A.M. Powell, and Ö. Yılmaz. Sigma-delta (Σ Δ) quantization and finite frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(5):1990–2005, 2006.

[7] E.J. Candès. Harmonic analysis of neural networks. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 6(2):197–218, 1999.

[8] E.J. Candès and D.L. Donoho. Continuous curvet transform: II. Discretization and frames. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 19:198–222, 2005.

[9] P.G. Casazza. The art of frame theory. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 4(2):129–201, 2000.

[10] P.G. Casazza, D. Han, and D.R. Larson. Frames for Banach spaces. *Contemp. Math.*, 247:149–182, 1999.

[11] P.G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok. Frames of subspaces. *Contemp. Math.*, 345:87–114, 2004.

[12] O. Christensen. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[13] O. Christensen and Y.C. Eldar. Oblique dual frames and shift-invariant spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 17(1):48–68, 2004.

[14] O. Christensen and D. Stoeva. p-frames in separable Banach spaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 18(2-4):117–126, 2003.

[15] I. Daubechies. *Ten lectures on wavelets*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

[16] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, and Y. Meyer. Painless nonorthogonal expansions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 27(5):1271–1283, 1986.

[17] M. Dörfler, H.G. Feichtinger, and K. Gröchenig. Time-frequency partitions for the Gelfand triple (S_0, L^2, S_0'). *Math. Scand.*, 98(1):81–96, 2006.

[18] N.E. Dudey Ward and J.R. Partington. A construction of rational wavelets and frames in Hardy-Sobolev space with applications to system modelling. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 36(1):654–679, 1998.

[19] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72(2):341–366, 1952.

[20] Y.C. Eldar and D.G. Forney. Optimal tight frames and quantum measurement. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 48(3):599–610, 2002.

[21] H.G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. Theory and practice of irregular sampling. *Wavelets: Mathematics and Applications*, pages 305–363, 1994.

[22] M. Fornasier. Quasi-orthogonal decompositions of structured frames. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 289(1):180–199, 2004.

[23] J.-P. Gabardo and D. Han. Frames associated with measurable spaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 18(2-4):127–147, 2003.

[24] P. Găvruţa. On some identities and inequalities for frames in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 321(1):469–478, 2006.

[25] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[26] D. Han and D.R. Larson. *Frames, bases and group representations*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 147, 2000.

[27] V. Kaftal, D. Larson, and S. Zhang. Operator-valued frames. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(12):6349–6385, 2009.

[28] D.F. Li and W.C. Sun. Some equalities and inequalities for generalized frames. *Chinese J. Contemp. Math.*, 29(3):301–308, 2008.

[29] J.Z. Li and Y.C. Zhu. Some equalities and inequalities for g-Bessel sequences in Hilbert spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25(11):1601–1607, 2012.

[30] S. Li and H. Ogawa. Pseudoframes for subspaces with applications. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 10(4):409–431, 2004.

[31] A. Poria. Behavior of Gabor frame operators on Wiener amalgam spaces. *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.*, 14(4):1650028 (15 pages), 2016.

[32] A. Poria and J. Swain. Hilbert space valued Gabor frames in weighted amalgam spaces. preprint arXiv:1508.01646v2, 2015.
[33] J.R. Ringrose. *Compact Non-Self-Adjoint Operators*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1971.
[34] G. Sadeghi and A. Arefijamaal. von Neumann–Schatten frames in separable Banach spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 9(3):525–535, 2012.
[35] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, UK,, 1979.
[36] T. Strohmer and R. W. Heath. Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 14(3):257–275, 2003.
[37] W. Sun. G-frames and g-Riesz bases. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322(1):437–452, 2006.
[38] X.C. Xiao, Y.C. Zhu, and X.M. Zeng. Some properties of g-Parseval frames in Hilbert spaces. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)*, 51(6):1143–1150, 2008.
[39] X.H. Yang and D.F. Li. Some new equalities and inequalities for G-frames and their dual frames. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)*, 52(5):1033–1040, 2009.
[40] R.M. Young. *An Introduction to Non-Harmonic Fourier Series*. Academic Press, New Work, 1980.
[41] X. Zhu and G. Wu. A note on some equalities for frames in Hilbert spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(7):788–790, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India.

E-mail address: a.poria@iitg.ernet.in